

Coupled Atmosphere-Wave-Ocean Modeling for Improving Tropical Cyclone Prediction Models

I. Ginis, T. Hara, B. Reichl, C. Hughes, B. Thomas, R. Yablonsky University of Rhode Island/GSO

> Collaborators: V. Tallapragada, H. Tolman (NOAA/NCEP) J.-W. Bao, C. Fairall, L. Bianco (NOAA/ESRL) M. Bender, Y. Fan (NOAA/GFDL)





HFIP Teleconference, June 6 2012

Air-sea (heat and momentum) fluxes and turbulent mixing above/below air-sea interface are significantly modified by surface waves



Image courtesy of Fabrice Veron

Coupled atmosphere-wave-ocean modeling framework



<u>Red</u> - atmospheric parameters, <u>Green – wave parameters, Blue</u> - ocean parameters

 Hurricane model (HWF or GFDL): air-sea fluxes depend on sea state, sea spray and include surface current.

 Wave model (WAVEWATCE): forced by sea state dependent wind forcing and includes surface current

• Ocean model (POM or HYCOM): forced by sea state dependent wind stress modified by growing or decaying wave fields and Coriolis-Stokes. Turbulent mixing is modified by the Stokes drift (Langmiur turbulence).

Discussion outline

- Sea state (wave) dependent drag coefficient
- Effect of surface waves on ocean currents and turbulence

Sea state dependent drag coefficient

• In the fully coupled air-sea interface module (ASIM), the momentum flux (drag coefficient) is calculated using the wave model output and a wave-boundary layer model.

• We examined three recently developed sea state dependent momentum flux models as potential candidates for ASIM.

Wind drag and wave spectrum



The wave field is expressed as a spectrum Ψ in terms of wavenumber k and direction θ .

The wind drag has two components: form (wave) drag and skin (viscous) drag.

The form drag is obtained by integrating the spectrum times the growth rate over all wavenumbers/directions.

Hurricane Ivan (2004) wave simulation with H*WIND forcing



Significant wave height (H_s) and wind speed (U_{10})

Evaluation of wave spectrum and its high frequency tail in a hurricane



Sea state dependent drag coefficient for different wave spectral tails



Drag coefficient is greatly dependent on the spectral tail

With the modified tail sea state dependence is weak

Only data for $U_{10} > 20$ m/s are shown

Comparing different sea-state dependent momentum flux models



All tested models used the same empirically derived wave spectrum based on the Joint North Sea Wave Project (JONSWAP) Elfounhaily et al. (1997)

Sea spray effect on drag coefficient using ESRL model

Without sea spray

With sea spray



Effect of surface waves on ocean currents/turbulence

Several theories of wave-current interaction have been developed (e.g., Mellor, 2003, 2005, 2008; Ardhuin et al., 2008; McWilliams and Restrepo, 1999).

Mellor's (2008) momentum equation with wave effects:

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U_{c\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}(U_{c\alpha}U_{c\beta}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(WU_{c\alpha}) - \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta z}fU_{c\beta} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial \bar{\tau}_{i\alpha}}{\partial z} \qquad \text{Traditional ocean current equation} \\ + \frac{\partial \bar{\tau}_{p\alpha}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_{s\alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}S_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta z}fu_{s\beta} \qquad \text{Surface wave effects (linear terms)} \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}(u_{s\alpha}u_{s\beta}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}(U_{c\alpha}u_{s\beta}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}(u_{s\alpha}U_{c\beta}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(Wu_{s\alpha}) \qquad \text{Surface wave effects (nonlinear terms) including Langmuir forcing} \\ U_{c\alpha} : (\text{Eulerian) ocean current } u_{s\alpha} : \text{Stokes drift} \qquad S_{\alpha\beta} : \text{Radiation stress} \end{cases}$

Effect of surface waves on ocean currents/turbulence

 $z = \hat{\eta}$

 $\tau_{s\alpha} = -\int_{-\infty}^{n} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta z} f u_{s\beta} dz$

URI model:

 $\bar{\tau}_{t\alpha} = \tau_{air\alpha}$

 $M_{\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{n} u_{s\alpha} dz$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}U_{c\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}\left(U_{c\alpha}U_{c\beta}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(WU_{c\alpha}\right) - \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta z}fU_{c\beta} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial\bar{\tau}_{t\alpha}}{\partial z}$$

 $- au_{slpha}$

 $MF_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} S_{\alpha\beta} dz$

Turbulence closure (modified)

Traditional ocean current equation

Surface boundary condition (modified)

: wave-dependent momentum flux budget terms (Fan et al. 2010)

at

: Coriolis-Stokes forcing term (Polton et al. 2005)

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial}MF_{\alpha\beta}$

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}M_{\alpha} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\beta}}$

) : Langmuir turbulence effect – will be included in the turbulence closure model (in collaboration with Tobias Kukulka, U. Delaware)

Air-sea flux budget under (idealized) hurricanes

Relative reduction of the au_{ocean} momentum flux to ocean $au_{\scriptscriptstyle air}$

Upper bound depends on wind stress au_{air} , which is of wind stress

URI

model

of wind

stress



not well constrained at high winds.

Upper bound: extrapolation of bulk parameterization

URI wind-wave model (blue)

Lower bound: observations by Powell et al. (2007)





Fan, Ginis, Hara (2010)

15-20% reduction of stress

Hurricane translation

110

105

100

95

90

85

80

110

105

100

95

90

85

80

110

105

100

95

90

85

80

Coriolis-Stokes forcing



The orbital path for a particle under a wave is tilted by planetary rotation in the along—wave crest direction, resulting in additional forcing (Hasselmann 1970).

Coriolis-Stokes forcing under idealized axisymmetric moving hurricane

R_m=70 km and V_m=45 ms⁻¹

 $U_T = 5 \text{ m/s}$

 U_T =10 m/s



Only data for $U_{10} > 20$ m/s are shown

Wind stress and Coriolis-Stokes vectors



Stokes drift due to surface waves and Langmuir turbulence

- Surface wave motions induce net mass transport, "Stokes drift", which has the effect of tilting and organizing the upper ocean turbulent eddies. The resulting turbulence is called "Langmuir turbulence".
- In hurricanes, of particular interest is the conditions in which wind is misaligned with waves at angles greater than 90°. In such cases the Stokes drift may suppress Langmuir turbulence and consequent sea surface cooling.

Langmuir turbulence under hurricanes

D'Asaro et al. suggest that near surface turbulence and upper ocean mixing may be significantly reduced when surface waves are opposing the wind and suppress the Langmuir turbulence.



Courtesy of Eric D'Asaro

Angle between wind and Stokes drift directions at $z=k_{peak}$ ⁻¹



Summary

- The sea state dependence of the drag coefficient in hurricanes is very sensitive to the parameterization of the high frequency part (tail) of the wave spectrum.
- All three tested momentum flux models indicate leveling off Cd at high wind speeds.
- Sea state dependent momentum flux budget and Coriolis-Stokes forcing may significantly modify the magnitude and direction of momentum flux into ocean currents (relative to wind stress) under hurricanes.
- Simulations of the Stokes drift under hurricanes suggest that the Langmuir turbulence may be enhanced or suppressed, depending on the alignment of wind and surface waves.